

SECRET

la

PM Sem

TL 3/6

PRIME MINISTER

At noon sterling had fallen to 2.016 against the dollar.

The Bank's view is that without some significant intervention, sterling could fall below \$2 before the end of the day. They believe that with intervention of up to somewhat less than \$100 million, they can hold the rate above \$2.

Ken Couzens is recommending to the Chancellor that they be authorised to spend up to \$70 million today in order to prevent the rate from falling further. Anything more than \$100 million on the day would be regarded as massive intervention, and a complete turnabout on our exchange rate policy of allowing the exchange rate to move with the market, subject to some smoothing. But even \$70 million will be noticed, and will be taken as something of an aberration. However, my own view is that it is justified. A fall in the rate below \$2 would, I believe, be psychologically rather damaging at this point - coming after the substantial decline over recent weeks. But if the downward pressure continues, the position will obviously have to be reviewed. We cannot go on spending \$70 million a day for very long without it being seen as a change in basic policy.

Since dictating the above, Ken Couzens has telephoned me with one gloss. If the Bank judge that spending \$70 million will not stop the rate from falling below \$2, they will not spend that much - for in that case, the \$70 million will simply be wasted. So if the rate does fall below \$2, and they have not spent \$70 million today, we should not be surprised. Ken emphasised that we should not put ourselves in the position of being seen to defend a particular rate: in that case, we would be in a new ball game altogether.

3 June 1981

TL

SECRET