

GRS 210

UNCLASSIFIED

FM LUXEMBOURG

TO PRIORITY DES

TELNO EJECT 01

OF 180936Z MARCH 86

INFO ROUTINE FCO, UKREP BRUSSELS

MY LETTER OF 16 DECEMBER TO CAPEY AND TELECON MILES/NORBURY OF
26 FEBRUARY: EUROPEAN SCHOOL, LUXEMBOURG

1. I AM CONCERNED THAT I HAVE NOT YET HAD ANY SUBSTANTIVE COMMENT ON THE CRITICISMS OF THE SCHOOL PUT FORWARD IN MY LETTER, SOME OF WHICH ARE FAR-REACHING. THE SCHOOL IS EXPENSIVE TO THE TAXPAYER AND IMPORTANT TO THE BRITISH COMMUNITY HERE.
2. I ACCEPT THAT OUR ABILITY TO TAKE DIRECT ACTION IS LIMITED (NORBURY'S LETTER OF 6 JANUARY). WHAT I THINK WE SHOULD DO IS A) REACH INTERNAL AGREEMENT ON THE POINTS I HAVE RAISED AND WHAT IF ANYTHING SHOULD BE DONE ABOUT THEM, AND B) TACKLE THE OTHER GOVERNMENTS AND AUTHORITIES WHOSE COOPERATION AND AGREEMENT WILL BE NEEDED. THIS COULD BE A LONG PROCESS. INSOFAR AS I UNDERSTAND THE MACHINERY THE BEST PLACE TO START WOULD BE THE SIX-MONTHLY MEETING IN APRIL OF THE EUROPEAN SCHOOLS BOARD OF GOVERNORS, AND IT WOULD PROBABLY BE BEST TO INFORM OTHER MEMBERS IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING ITSELF. WE NEED TO ENSURE THAT THE MAIN BOARD'S REPRESENTATIVE ON THE LUXEMBOURG SCHOOL BOARD IS GIVEN SUITABLE INSTRUCTIONS.
3. SO THERE IS NOT MUCH TIME IF AN UNSATISFACTORY STATE OF AFFAIRS IS NOT TO BE LEFT TO DRAG ON BY DEFAULT.

MILES

YYYY

LMLNAN 0206

NNNN

EDUCATION future Peng 113

